

## A New Technique to Monitor Larval and Juvenile Salamanders in Stream Habitats

Thomas K. Pauley and Michael Little

Department of Biological Sciences  
Marshall University  
Huntington, West Virginia 25755

### INTRODUCTION

Concern over amphibian population declines and species extinctions (e.g., Blaustein & Wake, 1990; Wyman, 1990; Wake, 1991; Green, 1997; Lannoo, 1998) first surfaced in the late 1980s. It has since generated efforts to determine the status of these animals around the world. Early reviews of the scientific literature and known ongoing studies demonstrated that too few long-term studies were available to assess trends for amphibians in any region (Pechmann et al., 1991; Vial & Saylor, 1993; Mitchell et al., in press). This resulted in a call by the scientific community for the establishment of long-term studies using standardized techniques so that comparisons could be made across space and time. The survey and monitoring protocols outlined in such publications as Heyer et al. (1994), Fellers & Freel (1995), and Olson et al. (1997) have been since utilized in studies around the world. The techniques that may be utilized on streamside salamanders, such as quadrat sampling, transect sampling, and visual encounter surveys, assume that hand capture methods will be used, a method best suited for adults. Juvenile and larval salamanders of species inhabiting stream habitats, however, pose special problems with regard to catchability and handling.

Juveniles and larvae are particularly difficult to capture by hand because of their wet, slippery skin. In addition, these small animals are adept at escaping into numerous interstices among the rocks and gravel in and along the sides of streams. Capturing salamanders in these hiding places requires researchers to disturb microhabitats in small, fragile first- and second-order streams by moving rocks and digging into the substrate. We describe herein the use of refugia bags as a technique to capture and monitor juvenile and larval salamanders in streams without disturbing the habitat. We also provide results from two studies to demonstrate the effectiveness of this methodology for selected species of streamside salamanders in the Appalachian Mountain region.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

We designed refugia bags while we were conducting studies on the life history of the seal salamander (*Desmognathus monticola*), a common streamside salamander in the Appalachian Mountains (Petranka, 1998). The idea to use refugia bags for amphibians resulted from the work of aquatic invertebrate biologists at the Fernow Experimental Forest lab who reported finding juvenile and larval salamanders in leaf packs similar to those described in Merritt & Cummins (1996). Juvenile and larval salamander refugia bags (Fig. 1) were constructed from plastic netting with a mesh size of 3-4 cm. The netting is the type used to cover trees to protect fruit from birds and can be ordered from forestry supply companies or purchased at feed and farm stores and garden centers. Netting comes in rolls or in flat, folded sections. The folded netting is easier to lay out, measure, and cut. Netting should be cut into 45-50 x 30 cm sections, and small rocks (no larger than 10 x 15 cm) placed in the bottom. Layers of mosses or leaves should be placed on top of the rocks. Pull the tops of the sections tightly up and around the rocks and leaves, and tie off the top with twist-ties. If the bag is not tied tightly, then adult salamanders may also seek shelter in the leaves. Bags should be placed in water in the streams but not submerged. Orange or red flagging can be tied to each bag for easy recognition in the field. This is especially important in the event that high water covers the bag with debris. To prevent bags from washing downstream during high water events, place a large rock on the bag or place two large rocks below (and touching) the bag. Bags can be checked periodically as dictated by the study design. A bag is checked by lifting it from the stream and quickly placing it in a white plastic dishpan and shaking it until all salamanders have emerged from the bag into the dishpan. The pan provides a white background against which the salamanders can be easily observed. The bag can be returned to the desired location in the stream.

Once caught, juveniles can be identified to species,



Fig. 1. Example of a completed refugia bag used to capture larval and juvenile streamside salamanders.

counted, measured for total length, snout-to-vent length, and released. Data can be recorded as the number of salamanders of each species and age class per refugia bag per sampling time interval or per sampling area. Data from refugia bags provide estimates of relative abundance among sites and species when compared under similar environmental conditions and over similar sampling periods.

Refugia bags are similar to leaf pack samplers and basket samplers used by aquatic entomologists to study macroinvertebrates in streams (e.g., Anderson & Mason, 1968; Hilsenhoff, 1969; Crossman & Cairns, 1974; Petersen & Cummins, 1974). Leaf packs are used to sample larval insects in riffles of streams or small rivers and basket samplers are cylindrical wire baskets packed with rocks and leaves for use in riffles of larger rivers. Both techniques are used for qualitative and semi-

quantitative sampling (relative abundance), rather than strict quantitative sampling to density values (Merritt & Cummins, 1996).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We used refugia bags initially during 1989-1994 in five watersheds in the Fernow Experimental Forest, USDA Forest Service Northeastern Research Station at Parsons, West Virginia. Of the 1,523 juvenile salamanders captured during this period, 66.5% were captured in bags and 33.5% were captured by hand after turning rocks.

We also examined the effectiveness of refugia bags in two studies of juvenile and larval salamanders in first-order streams in eastern West Virginia from 1996 to 1998. We conducted the first study in four streams on the West-

vaco Wildlife and Ecosystem Research Forest in Randolph County and the second study in nine streams on the U.S. Department of Agriculture gypsy moth control study plots in the Monongahela National Forest in Pocahontas County. In these studies, seven streams were spring fed and maintained a constant flow of water. Six streams had no spring connections and had intermittent flows.

We found five species of salamanders in the 13 first-order streams in both studies. Of these, *Desmognathus* juveniles used the bags more frequently than *Gyrinophilus porphyriticus* and *Eurycea bislineata* juveniles (94 vs 7), but larvae of the latter two species occupied the bags more often than *Desmognathus* larvae (131 vs 24) (Table 1). The low number of *Desmognathus* larvae is probably due to the short larval periods and restricted habitat of these species in the Appalachian region (Petranka, 1998). The larval period for *Desmognathus ochrophaeus* is known to be less than two weeks, and in some cases there is no free-swimming larval stage (Marcum, 1994). Newly hatched *D. monticola* larvae stay in water film on the undersides of rocks, and at later developmental stages inhabit the interstices of the substrate in riffles (Marcum, 1994), making it less likely that they will encounter the bags.

We also evaluated the effectiveness of refugia bags in relation to capturing salamander larvae with aquarium nets and searching the bottoms of streams at night with flashlights. Data in Table 2 demonstrate that, with the exception of larvae that inhabit plunge pools, such as *E. bislineata*, bags are at least as effective as using nets and more effective than night searches. Night searches and refugia bags are less disruptive to the stream habitat than searching with nets, and bags are more useful when daytime searches are necessary.

Several effective techniques have been used by

Table 1. Total number of juveniles and larvae of five species of salamanders captured in refugia bags in first-order streams in the Westvaco Wildlife and Ecosystem Research Forest (1996-1997) and the USDA gypsy moth study plots in eastern West Virginia (1997-1998). Total number of trap days was 274; each bag was checked monthly June-October.

Species	Larvae	Juveniles	Total
<i>Desmognathus fuscus</i>	11	25	36
<i>Desmognathus monticola</i>	12	46	58
<i>Desmognathus ochrophaeus</i>	1	23	24
<i>Eurycea bislineata</i>	51	5	56
<i>Gyrinophilus porphyriticus</i>	80	2	82

investigators to capture salamander larvae (Shaffer et al., 1994; Fellers & Freel, 1995; Mitchell, 1998a, b). These techniques involve using a tea strainer or aquarium dip net to capture larvae from silt in plunge pools or interstices in the substrate. Although larvae can be captured in pools without disturbing the substrate, it is usually necessary to dig into the rocks and gravel with a tea strainer to capture small larvae. Refugia bags are effective for salamanders because they provide a refuge where juveniles can find shelter from cannibalistic adults and predatory species. A primary assumption with this technique is that the escape behaviors of juveniles and larvae of all species sampled are similar. Refugia bags may also provide a substrate for small food items for juveniles, although this needs further investigation. The use of refugia bags provides a non-intrusive method for collecting and monitoring juvenile and larval streamside salamanders without disturbing the substrate. This microhabitat is used by various life history stages of small benthic invertebrates and is also where the eggs and larvae of several species of salamanders reside. Refugia bags provide an effective method for studies on the ecology and life histories of streamside salamanders, and they are effective tools for use in long-term monitoring programs.

Table 2. Number of larval salamanders captured by three different collection methods in first-order streams in USDA gypsy moth study plots in eastern West Virginia. Number of trap days for bags = 274 (monthly, May-September) and number of sampling periods for nets and night searches = 12 (monthly, June-September 1997 and 1998).

Species	Refugia Bags	Aquarium Nets	Night Searches
<i>Desmognathus fuscus</i>	11	13	1
<i>Desmognathus monticola</i>	6	3	1
<i>Desmognathus ochrophaeus</i>	1	3	0
<i>Eurycea bislineata</i>	22	333*	15
<i>Gyrinophilus porphyriticus</i>	22	28	7
Total	62	380	24

\* Number of *E. bislineata* is high because all searches in 1998 were conducted in pools where this species was abundant.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Methods and data presented in this paper resulted from three funded studies. The study at Fernow Experimental Forest was funded by the USDA Forest

Service and was part of a larger study entitled "The effects of diflubenzuron on non-target organisms in broadleaf forested watersheds in the northeast". Westvaco Forest Resources funded the study at Westvaco Wildlife and Ecosystem Research Forest. The gypsy moth study was funded by the USDA Forest Service and was part of a larger study entitled "Nontarget impacts from regional insecticide applications and gypsy moth defoliation". Allison Rogers was especially helpful with fieldwork at Westvaco. A large number of Marshall University students also participated in the study at the Fernow Experimental Forest and the US Forest Service gypsy moth study. We thank Westvaco Forest Resources for logistic support, and Joseph C. Mitchell and Steven M. Roble for reviews and help with the manuscript.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, J. B., & W. T. Mason, Jr. 1968. A comparison of benthic macroinvertebrates collected by dredge and basket sampler. *Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation* 40: 252-259.
- Blaustein, A.R., & D.B. Wake. 1990. Declining amphibian populations: a global phenomenon? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 5:203-204.
- Crossman, J. S., & J. Cairns. 1974. A comparative study between two artificial substrate samplers and regular sampling techniques. *Hydrobiologia* 44: 517-522.
- Fellers, G.M., & K.L. Freel. 1995. A standardized protocol for surveying aquatic amphibians. U.S. National Park Service, Technical Report NPS/WRUC/NRTR-95-01. Davis, CA. 117 pp.
- Green, D.M. (ed.). 1997. Amphibians in decline, Canadian studies of a global problem. *Herpetological Conservation*, 1:1-338.
- Heyer, W.R., M.A. Donnelly, R.W. McDiarmid, L.C. Hayek, & M.S. Foster (Eds.). 1994. *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC. 364 pp.
- Hilsenhoff, W. L. 1969. An artificial substrate sampler for stream insects. *Limnology & Oceanography* 14:465-471.
- Lannoo, M.J. (ed.). 1998. *Status and Conservation of Midwestern Amphibians*. University of Iowa Press, Iowa City. 507 pp.
- Marcum, C., Jr. 1994. Ecology and natural history of four plethodontid species in the Fernow Experimental Forest, Tucker County, West Virginia. Master's thesis, Marshall University, Huntington, WV. 254 pp.
- Merritt, R.W., & K.W. Cummins (eds.). 1996. *An Introduction to the Aquatic Insects of North America*. Third Edition. Kendall Hunt Publishing Co., Dubuque, IA. 862 pp.
- Mitchell, J.C. 1998a. Amphibian decline in the mid-Atlantic region: monitoring and management of a sensitive resource. Final Report, Legacy Resource Management Program, U.S. Department of Defense, Alexandria, VA. 143 pp.
- Mitchell, J.C. 1998b. Guide to inventory and monitoring of streamside salamanders in Shenandoah National Park. Supplement number 2 to Amphibian decline in the mid-Atlantic region: monitoring and management of a sensitive resource. Final Report, Legacy Resource Management Program, U.S. Department of Defense, Alexandria, VA. 25 pp.
- Mitchell, J.C., T.K. Pauley, D.I. Withers, P.V. Cupp, A.L. Braswell, B. Miller, S.M. Roble, & C.S. Hobson. (in press). Conservation status of the southern Appalachian herpetofauna. *In* R.P. Eckerlin and G.E. Meier (eds.), *Proceedings of the Appalachian Biogeography Symposium*. Special Publication, Virginia Museum of Natural History, Martinsville, VA.
- Olson, D.H., W.P. Leonard, & R.B. Bury. 1997. Sampling amphibians in lentic habitats. Northwest Fauna Number 4, Society for Northwestern Vertebrate Biology, Olympia, WA. 134 pp.
- Pechmann, J.H.K., D.E. Scott, R.D. Semlitsch, J.P. Caldwell, L.J. Vitt, & J.W. Gibbons. 1991. Declining amphibian populations: the problem of separating human impacts from natural fluctuations. *Science* 253:892-895.
- Petersen, R. C., & K. W. Cummins. 1974. Leaf processing in a woodland stream ecosystem. *Freshwater Biology* 4: 343-368.
- Petranka, J. 1998. *Salamanders of the United States and Canada*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC. 587 pp.
- Shaffer, H.B., R.A. Alford, B.D. Woodward, S.J. Richards, R.G. Altig, & C. Gascon. 1994. Quantitative sampling of amphibian larvae. Pp. 130-141 *In* W.R. Heyer et al. (eds.), *Measuring and Monitoring Biological*

Diversity, Standard Methods for Amphibians. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, DC.

Vial, J.L., & L. Saylor. 1993. The status of amphibian populations: a compilation and analysis. IUCN-The World Conservation Union Species Survival Commission, Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force. Working

Document 1. Corvallis, Oregon.

Wake, D.B. 1991. Declining amphibian populations. *Science* 253:860.

Wyman, R.L. 1990. What's happening to the amphibians? *Conservation Biology* 4:350-352.

---

*Banisteria*, Number 12, 1998

## On the Occurrence of Several Species of Pterostichine Ground Beetles in Virginia (Carabidae: Pterostichini)

Richard L. Hoffman

Virginia Museum of Natural History  
Martinsville, Virginia, 24112

Most species of Southern Appalachian beetles remain very poorly known, even though the general composition of that fauna has been sketched in broad strokes for a long time. Insofar as ground beetles (carabids) are concerned there are still some undescribed taxa (some of them fairly large forms) to be accounted, and for most species our knowledge of distributional patterns is totally inadequate. These facts were enunciated by P. J. Darlington in 1931, but the challenge was not taken up for another three decades, when Thomas C. Barr began his extensive researches on the Appalachian carabid fauna. Dr. Barr's stimulating summary paper of 1969 catalyzed this writer's interest in the subject, and his personal activity with the Virginia fauna commenced on a serious basis in the early 1970s. Collecting efforts which were largely incidental to other work during the next two decades were substantially accelerated in 1989, when a long-range program to inventory the soil and litter fauna of Virginia was commenced at the Virginia Museum of Natural History. Since a number of range extensions and additions to the known fauna of the state have accumulated, it seems desirable to put them on record, and I start with accounts of several rather poorly-known species in the Pterostichini, a tribe of predominantly sylvan species of Carabidae. A contribution in this same general vein has been published recently by R. L. Davidson (1995), who added several pterostichines to the known fauna of the state.

The taxa treated here have been cited for "VA" in the 1993 list of Nearctic carabids by Bousquet & Laroche, on the basis of data - herein formally documented - supplied to those authors. Unless otherwise specified, all material recorded below was taken by me (or by museum inventory work) and is housed in the Virginia Museum of Natural History.

### 1. *Gastrellarius blanchardi* (Horn)

Described from Highlands, North Carolina, this diminutive species has been recorded only as far north as the Black Mountains of that state. Unpublished records extend the known range considerably farther northward (about 152 miles/354 km), into southern West Virginia:

**Virginia:** *Floyd County:* Buffalo Mountain, ca. 3,000 ft/1,000 m., 23 May 1968 (T.C. Barr Colln.). *Grayson Co.:* Grayson Highlands State Park, Haw Orchard Mountain at 4800 ft/1,600 m., 8 August 1990 (2); also 30 August 1990 (1); south slope Mount Rogers, ca 4,000 ft/1334 m., 8 May 1976 (1); Whitetop Mountain, spruce forest at 5320 ft/1,775 m., 23 December 1985 (1); beech woods at 5,000 ft/1670 m., 11-31 July 1991 (2). *Tazewell Co.:* Burkes Garden, east slope Beartown Mountain at 4,000 ft/1,334 m., 27 August 1978 (1), also 17 July 1977 (1).